

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,333	04/29/2004	Yuan-Chia Lu	12668-US-PA	3332
0.000	7590 07/17/200 N INTELLECTUAL P	EXAMINER		
7 FLOOR-1, N	IO. 100	HERNANDEZ, JOSIAH J		
ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN			2626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW Belinda@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/709,333	LU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSIAH HERNANDEZ	2626	

	JOSIAH HERNANDEZ	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(36/a) and the appropriat	a avtancian foa			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS The present amendment(s) filed offer a final rejection by	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201122			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 06/30/2008 have been fully considered but are non-persuasive. The applicant argues that the prior art references do not teach a "fuzzy" command that is indefinite and performs a plurality of adjustment commands. Although the arguments seem to have some ground and can potentially overcome the prior art reference, a note is made that the claim limitations of the independent claims continue to be broad. The claim limitations state that the system "identifies said voice command as one of a specific command and a fuzzy command....wherein said fuzzy command performs a plurality of adjustment actions corresponding to said voice command....". The term "fuzzy" is not described specifically in the claim or in the specification. The claim only states that the "fuzzy" command performs a plurality of actions, of which can be interpreted to be a command that adjust an image by cutting and pasting or by zooming (of which the computer would have to execute a plurality of actions) as disclosed by Greenberg (US PGPUB 2003/0083577) paragraphs [0036] and [0041]. Since the specification or the claim does not describe specifically what is the actual meaning of the term "fuzzy" the examiner has to give the broadest interpretation of the meaning "fuzzy" which is: "not clear". The term "not clear" can apply broadly to many commands, such as zoom, because by saying "zoom image", as disclosed by Greenberg (paragraph [0036]) it is unclear exactly by how much to zoom or which image, if a plurality of images where present. Therefore, until the claim limitations can be more specific on the definition of "fuzzy" and how it applies to the system, the prior art references continue to read on the broad interpretation of the claim limitations..